



**The refugee crisis as a preparation stage of future exclusion: The effects of the country of origin turmoil and refugee management on work orientations**

Journal:	<i>International Journal of Sociology and Social Policy</i>
Manuscript ID	IJSSP-11-2017-0149.R1
Manuscript Type:	Original Article
Keywords:	Refugee crisis, Migrant exclusion, Work orientations

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

## The refugee crisis as a preparation stage for future exclusion: The effects of the country of origin turmoil and refugee management on work orientations

### Introduction

The refugee crisis has undoubtedly been one of the greatest challenges that the global community has faced since the beginning of the 21<sup>st</sup> century. Although the emphasis at the moment seems to be on the urgent need for the management of the refugee crisis, in the midst of all this lays an important challenge that involves the integration of refugees in the countries that will eventually host them. Central to this concern is the analysis regarding the relation of the newly arrived population to local labour markets. This paper suggests that such focus needs to take into consideration not only the structural characteristics of host countries but also the values and beliefs of refugees concerning economic action. As opposed to essentialist approaches that view this group of people as a unified whole, which is driven by survival or economic self-interest, this analysis argues that a focus on the perceptions of refugees concerning work can inform not only labour market policy, but our wider understanding of the issues that emerge.

More specifically, this entails the use of a biographical approach that will focus on the effects that the turmoil in the countries of origin and the actual migration journey has had on refugees' perceptions of work. In order to do that the research utilizes the explanatory tool of the holistic approach to migrant exclusion (Xypolytas 2017b). This framework focuses on the importance of migrant labour and approaches exclusion in three stages: Preparation, Allocation and Habituation. *Preparation* refers to the experiences of crises in the countries of origin and the cultural acclimatization of future migrants to casual and low-status work. *Allocation* involves the workings of the labour markets in the host country and the overrepresentation of migrants in low-status jobs. *Habituation* concerns the internalization of the demands and characteristics of labour that lead to the marginalization of migrants in the host countries.

Using qualitative interviews with migrants and refugees in the island of Lesbos, the research shows that people in the present developing situation are faced with a preparation stage that – in the absence of proper policy intervention – decisively paves the way for their

1  
2  
3 future exclusion. In past experience, the preparation stage involved usually only one  
4 country; namely, the country of origin. In the case of the refugee crisis, the preparation stage  
5 spans across three countries at least: a) the country of origin, b) Turkey, as an intermediate  
6 stop and c) the Hotspots in Greece. It is within this long journey, which is in no way  
7 concluded by all, that the perceptions of work of migrants and refugees are affected and  
8 shaped by the mold of a profound humanitarian crisis.  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14

### 15 **Literature review**

16  
17  
18 In the past three years there has been considerable research activity on the topic of  
19 refugee integration and the vast majority of this work naturally suggests that access to labour  
20 market is arguably the most important factor for accomplishing that goal. However, this  
21 work can be analytically divided into two dominant categories. On the one hand, there are  
22 studies whose findings suggest that most of the burden of the integration efforts should, or  
23 eventually will, rest on the shoulders of refugees themselves. This approach is heavily  
24 influenced by human capital theories and mainly focuses on the social characteristics of the  
25 population in order to address issues of integration. On the other hand, there is another  
26 strand of research that represents a more critical approach and focuses more on structural  
27 characteristics of the host countries and the various barriers these pose for refugee  
28 integration.  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35

36  
37 Concerning the first approach, the research has been predominantly quantitative and  
38 has identified many key factors that influence labour market entry. Using survey data and  
39 elaborate statistical analysis, it places particular importance on education or proficiency in  
40 the language of the host country as important determinants of integration (Auer 2017, Bach  
41 2017). Similarly, this type of research has highlighted the importance of refugee resilience  
42 and adaptability to labour market characteristics (Bakker et al 2017, Pajic et al 2018) or the  
43 need to actively engage in entrepreneurial activity (Sak et al 2017, Obschonka et al 2018). In  
44 this line of reasoning, the state could facilitate these efforts by establishing certain policies,  
45 but at its core the argument suggests that it is the activation of refugees themselves that is of  
46 paramount importance for successful labour market integration.  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52

53  
54 Apart from quantitative research, there has been also some qualitative work that  
55 approached refugee labour market integration in a rather similar manner. These studies  
56 emphasize strongly on the need for refugees to establish networks in the host countries  
57  
58  
59  
60

(Kofler and Streifeder 2017, Gericke et al 2018) as well as on their ability to quickly adjust culturally to the workings of local communities and labour markets (Almohamed et al 2017).

On the other hand, the second strand of research suggests that it is the structural barriers in the host countries and not personal characteristics that undermine labour market integration for refugees. Once again, there are many quantitative studies that show there is persistently poor evidence of labour market integration for refugees due to discriminatory practices (Ceritoglou et al 2017), inflexible institutional arrangements concerning skill recognition and creation (Eisele 2014) and over-representation of migrants and refugees in casual and low-status jobs (Aslund et al 2016, El-Warari et al 2017).

However, it appears that both approaches seem to underestimate the effects that the turmoil in the country of origin and the management of the refugee crisis can have on labour market integration. Unfortunately, this is a rather common characteristic of migration research, which often overlooks the analytical importance of the country of origin or the migration journey and instead focuses solely on the events and features of the host country (Xypolytas 2017a). There is some important research however, which suggests that there are elements within the management of the refugee crisis itself that contribute to labour market disadvantages. For example, a quantitative study in Australia found that there are significant links between time spent in refugee camps and the ability to enter stable employment in the host country (Delaporte and Piracha 2017).

Nonetheless, in order to understand the mechanisms that connect refugee management and labour market integration it is important to place emphasis on the values and beliefs of refugees themselves. In that vein, a rather important study is a recent one by Refai et al (2018), which suggests that before entering the host country, the living conditions and experiences in refugee camps in Jordan have heavily influenced refugees' labour identity which is becoming centered on the notions of immediate gratification and survival.

Given this research background, the present study suggests that in order to approach labour market integration, much more emphasis should be placed on the countries of origin and the intermediate stages of the migration journey that have shaped refugees' experiences as well as their values concerning work. The developments in the country of origin, in Turkey and in Greek hotspots represent a social space that influences refugees' work orientations and the latter ought to be understood as an essential analytical aspect of labour

1  
2  
3 market integration. This research wishes to voice refugees' experiences and concerns and  
4 suggests that these three spaces that make up the preparation stage have a profound effect on  
5 labour identity and thus undermine any policy interventions that do not take this  
6 development into account. After establishing the analytical tools and the context within  
7 which this study is situated, the next section will look at the methodological implications of  
8 the research.  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14

### 15 **Methodology**

16  
17  
18 The research was carried out in Lesvos during a 6-month period between April and  
19 September of 2017 and the data was collected through 22 semi-structured interviews. The  
20 reason for using qualitative methodology was twofold. *Firstly*, the research focused on the  
21 perceptions and beliefs of refugees and migrants concerning work and labour identity. This  
22 implies the ability to record the interaction between personal consciousness and objective  
23 social reality (Thomas and Znaniecki 1984) that can best be accomplished through  
24 qualitative data gathering. In other words, perceptions of work cannot be approached  
25 without recording both the experience of labour exercised as well as the meaning that this  
26 generates for the subjects themselves (Beynon and Blackburn 1972). *Secondly*, the focus  
27 was on the attitudinal shifts and changes that occur as refugees move from one part of the  
28 preparation stage to the next. Emphasizing on social processes that unravel through time  
29 makes it very difficult to depend on quantitative methodology, which can only provide  
30 snapshots and does not easily have the ability to track changes and shifts in values and  
31 beliefs (Patten and Newhart 2018).  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40

41 The interview guide was constructed in order to approach the nature of employment  
42 and perceptions of work in three distinct contexts: a) in the country of origin, b) in Turkey  
43 and c) in the hotspot in Lesvos. In the case of the Moria hotspot there were no data on work  
44 and instead questions concerning living conditions and everyday activities were asked, since  
45 these proved to be of great significance for the development of specific work orientations  
46 amongst refugees.  
47  
48  
49  
50

51 Concerning the sampling of the research, this was based on male refugees from Syria,  
52 Iraq and Afghanistan. More specifically from the 22 interviews taken, 8 were with Syrians, 7  
53 with Iraqis and 7 with Afghani. The age group of the research population was from 19 years  
54 old to 33. This was an intentional choice as the sample should cover people of economically  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 active age and at the same time be indicative of the population in the hotspot of Moria, of  
4 which the vast majority is young males. Apart from that, it must be noted that from the  
5 population living in Moria a great number comes from the African continent. However, it  
6 was a methodological choice to deal solely with subjects from these three countries, as they  
7 represent people that, due to the armed conflict in the countries of origin, have more  
8 probabilities of having their asylum application accepted and eventually move to various  
9 countries in Europe. In addition to that, the armed conflict in itself is significant as it plays  
10 an important role to the generation of specific attitudes concerning occupational identity and  
11 work orientations.  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17

18 The specific people that participated in the research were selected through snowball  
19 sampling. This is the technique used when one interviewee is introducing the researcher to a  
20 second interviewee and that one to a third etc. (Noy 2008). This approach to sampling is  
21 particularly important for hard-to-reach populations, where a certain level of trust must be  
22 established before the actual data collection takes place (Cohen and Arieli 2011). Arguably,  
23 the most important aspect of snowball sampling is the issue of the so called “key people”.  
24 These are individuals that are respected within the community that one wishes to research  
25 and they can provide the necessary introduction of the researcher to the group.  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31

32 In order to avoid a possible bias in the sample and safeguard reliability, 4 different  
33 key people were used. Using a smaller number might have led to chain referrals that would  
34 eventually form a sample which would not be indicative of the population but rather of the  
35 social characteristics of the key people (Waters 2015). Hence, each key person provided a  
36 minimum of 3 and a maximum of 5 referrals. The remaining 5 interviews were with people  
37 that were particularly eager themselves to participate in the research.  
38  
39  
40  
41

42 The interviews were conducted outside the hotspot in canteens that have seating  
43 arrangements with tables and chairs. This was particularly challenging due to weather  
44 conditions as well as considerable noise, since these canteens are the only place for  
45 socialization outside the camp. Out of the 22 interviews, 5 were with subjects whose  
46 command of the English language was sufficient for this specific purpose and 17 were  
47 conducted in Arabic with the aid of a translator. The translator was a Palestinian-Greek  
48 political scientist who was working as a volunteer in Lesvos and his educational background  
49 ensured a working knowledge of the methodological implications behind his role as an  
50 interpreter.  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Having said that, here are indeed certain considerations concerning the use of  
4 interpreters in qualitative interviews (Edwards 1998, Kosny et al 2014), such as the ability  
5 of the interpreter to disassociate himself from the events and opinions described, but  
6 nonetheless, this was a conscious methodological choice. Knowledge of English in the three  
7 countries of interest suggests a relatively high level of educational attainment and  
8 socioeconomic background. Thus, conducting all the interviews with subjects that spoke  
9 English, albeit practical, would seriously undermine the reliability of the research (Marshall  
10 and White 1994).  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15

16 Lastly, in terms of data analysis, after the transcription of the interviews 3 axial  
17 codes were created (Strauss and Corbin 2008): a) *Conditions of Work*, b) *Perceptions of*  
18 *Work* and c) *Living Conditions*. Each of these was in turn separated in the 3 contexts of  
19 interest: Country of origin, Turkey and Moria hotspot. These categories were further  
20 elaborated and eventually 17 codes were formulated and became the building blocks for the  
21 analysis.  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

### 28 **Data analysis**

29  
30 The main argument of this paper is that there are three distinct parts of the preparation  
31 stage which seriously undermine refugees' social status but more importantly, they strongly  
32 affect their work orientations and make people particularly vulnerable to the dangers of  
33 future exclusion. The main reasons for this is that refugees become culturally accustomed to  
34 low-status and casual labour as well as the loss of social rights. These are developments that  
35 people experience initially in the country of origin, afterwards in Turkey and lastly in  
36 Greece. Following these processes of marginalization, the exodus from this social context  
37 becomes a necessity for which refugees are willing to accept social conditions that they  
38 otherwise may not have accepted. That in turn can effectively undermine their future social  
39 position in the host countries as it poses a grave threat to their future social integration.  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45

#### 46 - *Country of origin*

47  
48  
49 For the three countries in question (Syria, Iraq and Afghanistan), armed conflict –  
50 either in the form of officially recognized war or in the form of generalized insurgency – is  
51 the main characteristic for many years now. This is particularly important since this  
52 environment massively undermines the ability of crucial social institutions to function  
53 properly or even elementary. In all three countries the data suggest that the issue of security  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 is the main reason behind the staggering situation in terms of development and economic  
4 growth (Shatz 2014, Echavez et al. 2014).  
5

6  
7 Given the particularly lengthy period that these countries have been in turmoil, it is no  
8 surprise that the people interviewed have experienced a rather turbulent occupational past.  
9 From those subjects that had an employment history, the data suggest that their main  
10 concern surrounding their work was the one of safety. A rather typical example of this is 33-  
11 year-old Ahmed from Iraq, who was working as a truck driver for 10 years. The armed  
12 violence that plagues the country forced him out of his job and into casual labour until the  
13 time of his departure to Turkey.  
14  
15  
16  
17

18  
19 *“I was driving big trucks for a big company (for) 10 years. But it was very difficult. Some*  
20 *roads were closed and people with guns (were) shooting and you have to pay to go by. Or*  
21 *you load the truck and bombs would go (off). Big bombs. I can’t do that... I left. Worked*  
22 *construction, plumber, fix things in houses. Anything! I did that 2 years and I left... Nothing*  
23 *for me there”*  
24  
25  
26

27 The same problems appear in different occupations as Mohammed, a 28-year-old teacher  
28 from Afghanistan, suggests. In his region, Taliban forces, in order to gain resources, often  
29 abduct young girls from – or on their way to – school and demand ransom from the family.  
30 As a result, many families do not send their children to school and instead they pay teachers  
31 to come to their homes and give lessons there.  
32  
33  
34  
35

36  
37 *“I was working for three years as a private teacher but it was not safe for me. They (the*  
38 *Taliban) knew who was working as a teacher and many were beaten up and some even*  
39 *killed. They even stopped me one time but I left. I couldn’t stay... We made clothes at home*  
40 *with my wife and I worked in the field. I got some money and I came here”*.  
41  
42

43 What both of these extracts show is that on the one hand, living in this part of the  
44 world is particularly unsafe. The absence of a formal recognition of a country being at war  
45 does not imply that living conditions are approximating those in times of peace. It is hardly  
46 the case for both Iraq and Afghanistan. However, the main point is that armed conflict is  
47 forcing people to move away from their occupation and into casual labour in order to  
48 survive. This implies a loss of occupational identity prior to migration, which has been  
49 identified in the past as an important aspect of both social relations in the country of origin  
50 and future migrant exclusion (Zhurzhenko 2001, Xypolytas 2017a). The inability to define  
51 one’s self through a specific skill set and instead focus on the priority of survival might  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 intuitively might make sense in times of crisis and war. However, the data suggest that this  
4 undermines the ability of workers to retreat to the previous perceptions of work after the end  
5 of the crisis (Komarovskiy 1940, Bridger and Pine 1998, Xypolytas 2013). This is a crucial  
6 finding especially in terms of policy-making and the analysis will return to this in the last  
7 section.  
8  
9

10  
11 - *Turkey*  
12  
13

14 The second part of the preparation stage takes place in Turkey. It is there that people  
15 come for the first time in contact with the social status of the refugee (not in legal terms, but  
16 in terms of perception). Especially for those who stayed in the country and worked in order  
17 to gain passage to Greece, this is a particularly difficult experience. One that introduces  
18 them to a social space with little or no access to social rights and the facing of many dangers  
19 on a daily basis (Yildiz and Uzgoren 2016). Similarly, the stay in Turkey represents an  
20 important part of the preparation stage, as it often brings people in close contact with low-  
21 status work and the social identity that is often associated with the undermining of social and  
22 human rights.  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

29 The passing from Turkey is an essential part of the journey to Greece, since all the  
30 migrants and refugees that live or have stayed in the past in Lesvos or other islands have  
31 arrived on boats from Turkey. Their stay in the neighboring country might be very short – a  
32 day or two – or particularly long, as hundreds of thousands are still waiting for a chance to  
33 cross the border. The consequences for the refugees are rather different depending on the  
34 time spent in the country. In the case of a short stay, the main negative effect is the contact  
35 with the smuggling operation, which entails hiding from the authorities for a day or two and  
36 entering unsafe vessels for a life-threatening journey. Regardless of the small duration of  
37 stay, for many migrants and refugees, this is a rather unpleasant experience since it  
38 introduces them to a world of criminality and exploitation (Jarahzadeh 2013, Mandic 2017).  
39 However, apart from the issues of legality, this introduction is particularly important as it is  
40 the first contact with the social status of the refugee. A status, which according to the  
41 refugees is defined by the lack of social and human rights. Hussam, who is 19 years old  
42 from Syria, explains this quite clearly in the following extract.  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51

52 *“I was shocked. I felt like a cow. We were told where to go. They made us sleep in the forest*  
53 *to hide and we didn't know what was going on. They put us on the boat and we pray we will*  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 *live to go to the other side... For them, we were not even people. I was not Hussam. I am a*  
4 *refugee. I have no rights. I keep my mouth closed and I go where they tell me”.*  
5

6  
7 As important as the above may be, it appears that the experiences of those that stayed  
8 in Turkey for a longer period of time is of greater sociological significance. Because it is  
9 during their employment and stay in Turkey that perceptions concerning work and social  
10 status are decisively constructed. The people that have stayed in Turkey are the ones that did  
11 not have the sufficient funds to cross the sea into the islands of Greece. Hence, during their  
12 prolonged stay they had to work in order to gain this amount of money which varied, at that  
13 time, from 700 to 1000 euros. Living and especially working conditions for refugees in  
14 Turkey have been already described as fairly problematic by much research on the field  
15 (Yildiz and Uzgoren 2016, Baban et al 2016). The data from this research is in no way  
16 different. 25-year-old Ali from Iraq explains this quite clearly.  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22

23 *“I was working in a small factory and we made showers (showerheads). 13 hours. Can you*  
24 *believe that? 6 hours work. Standing and making shower. No break. Just standing. Then half*  
25 *hour break to eat and 6 hours again. My feet still hurt. And then run back to my room*  
26 *because it was late and they attack you and steal your money. One time police stop me and*  
27 *take 60 euros. Then they hit me. They say they want more. But it’s OK. I left”.*  
28  
29  
30  
31

32 In a more or less similar vein, Murad, who is 21 years old from Syria, says the following.  
33

34  
35 *“Things are very bad in Turkey. You can’t go outside at night. There are young people with*  
36 *knives and police and they hit you and they say “para” (money)... So, you work and you*  
37 *stay inside. I was living and working in a bakery. I worked hard for 12 hours. 8 at night*  
38 *until 8 in the morning. Then I sleep and the same next day. For 4 months. And then the boss*  
39 *didn’t pay me and said he will call the police because I didn’t have papers”.*  
40  
41  
42  
43

44 These are rather typical extracts concerning the situation in the cities by the coast of  
45 Turkey and indeed the problems have been commonly reported by the press and other  
46 organizations worldwide (Open Democracy 2016). What is sociologically important  
47 however, is that, opposed to the assumptions behind the EU – Turkey refugee deal, Turkey  
48 is not perceived as a “safe country” by many refugees. Cases of muggings, violent assaults,  
49 rape and even murder, with refugees being the victims, are unfortunately happening rather  
50 often (Hakan 2017) and avoiding the public eye seems to be an efficient survival strategy for  
51 many people. The options in this case are the following: Either live with many other  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 refugees in a small room or flat, in which case when one goes outside, they would have to  
4 take their money with them in fear of having them stolen by other occupants. In this  
5 scenario, the refugees are vulnerable to beatings and muggings or even having their money  
6 taken by corrupt members of the police force.  
7  
8

9  
10 The other option is taking up jobs that would prevent them from entering the public  
11 eye. Much like migrant women, entering live-in domestic work in order to gain money and  
12 avoid being caught by the police, many refugees are forced to take up jobs that entail living  
13 in the place of work. As it has been shown by research on the field, this form of labour, apart  
14 from its exploitative conditions, also exposes people in conditions of servitude and  
15 deference (ILO 1995, Anderson 2000, Xypolytas 2016) and can be an important factor that  
16 influences perceptions of work. Such work, is indeed difficult and occasionally hazardous,  
17 but it also may often involve the failure to observe the terms agreed with the employers,  
18 which is the case for some refugees who are forced into making this choice of employment.  
19 Generally speaking, what this rather brief description suggests is that Turkey indeed  
20 represents a crucial part of the preparation stage that is strongly associated with low-status  
21 work and the undermining of social rights, and it lays an important stone on a structure of  
22 exclusion that will be further built in the hotspots of Greece.  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30

31 - *Hotspots in Greece (Moria, Lesvos)*  
32  
33

34 The third space of the preparation stage are the hotspots of Greece and Italy and, in the  
35 case of this particular research, the hotspot of Moria in the island of Lesvos. The refugees'  
36 stay in the hotspot is characterized by an extremely long period of waiting in order for their  
37 asylum claim to be processed and then accepted or denied. During this period, they live in  
38 often appalling conditions that seriously undermine their physical and mental health  
39 (Hebebrand et al 2016, Al Jazeera 2017, Jauhiainen 2017). Apart from that, the hotspot  
40 represents a space of demoralization (Amnesty International 2016, Afouxenidis et al 2017)  
41 that refugees are willing to escape under any means necessary. This desperate need to move  
42 away from the constraints of migration policy can undermine refugees' safety as well as  
43 their ability to enter labour markets with precaution to the dangers of the allocation to casual  
44 and low status jobs.  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51

52 The main characteristic of living in the Moria hotspot, as described by all the  
53 interviewees, is inactivity. Hundreds of people, mostly men, are living inside the camp  
54 waiting for their asylum claim to be made and then processed. This is a particularly long  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 period that can take up to 9 or even 12 months. During this time, the activities organized by  
4 the authorities responsible for the hotspot are minimal, since most of them are organized  
5 from NGOs outside the camp and they usually involve mainly women and children. This  
6 intuitively makes sense, given the vulnerability of this subgroup, but it leaves the vast  
7 majority of the camp, which is young males (18 to 30 years old), in complete idleness.  
8  
9

10  
11 The act of waiting in itself can become a great problem for the later integration of  
12 refugees. Making people wait, without destroying hope, is one of the quintessential ways of  
13 experiencing domination (Bourdieu 2000). Ethnographical research on welfare claimants has  
14 shown that the long period of waiting is an essential part of constructing compliant and  
15 passive citizens (Auyero 2011). The act of waiting for this long period of time represents a  
16 technique of domination that generates passivity in the refugee population and can greatly  
17 undermine integration efforts, especially those centered on active labour market policies, as  
18 discussed in the literature review section.  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

25 Apart from the above, during their time in the camp refugees and migrants have to live  
26 under extremely problematic conditions that involve among others inappropriate housing  
27 facilities, hygiene problems, 500 meters long queues for sometimes inedible food, lack of  
28 personal space, deaths of fellow refugees inside the hotspot that understandably upset the  
29 entire camp, riots and clashes with the police. This list, which is under no circumstances  
30 comprehensive, combined with the unwanted prospects of returning back to the country of  
31 origin, being sent back to Turkey, or remaining even longer in Lesbos without having the  
32 funds to support oneself, makes the situation extremely difficult. Often refugees and  
33 migrants are quite desperate to leave the island, in which case, if they can afford it, they pay  
34 smugglers to get them across to the mainland and from there maybe find a similar way to  
35 reach another European country or remain in Athens and try to live there.  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43

44 The combination of idleness, often inhumane conditions and fear of deportation has  
45 taken its toll on refugees and migrants, with problems of mental illness being the top priority  
46 for the authorities and the NGOs operating in Lesbos (PBS 2017). 22-year-old Amir from  
47 Syria says the following concerning this problem.  
48  
49

50  
51 *“I see many people and they are all depressed. You see so many in the camp walking just*  
52 *like that and talking to themselves. Or they sit down and cry and you don't ask anymore. It's*  
53 *everyday the same. I try to walk outside the camp up in the mountain and be alone. Because*  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 *this is the biggest problem. You are never alone. Last time I was alone I was in Syria. It is so*  
4 *difficult. It makes you hard inside”*  
5

6  
7 These demoralizing conditions are combined – or even arguably tackled – with the  
8 extensive use of alcohol and drugs inside the camp. The abuse of alcohol and substances as  
9 well as prostitution are daily problems that refugees face in Moria. One of the ways  
10 authorities are dealing with this situation is to find alternate forms of housing for women,  
11 unaccompanied minors and families, so that these people are not exposed to the dangers of  
12 these rather common practices. It is understandable that this is not a particularly effective  
13 strategy. As with the case of organized social activities, the main bulk of the population,  
14 which is young males, is not affected by this measure. Even more so, prostitution, drugs and  
15 alcohol are becoming more prevalent inside the camp. 21-year-old Masoud from Syria  
16 describes the situation.  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22

23 *“Oh! Here we have everything friend. What do you want (laughing)? Drugs? Easy!*  
24 *Everybody knows who sells them and sometimes they ask you. They are so busy all the time*  
25 *but they have time for new customers (laughing)... They have bottles of whiskey and vodka*  
26 *and they sell them. After 9 at night everybody is drunk. Some people can't sleep without*  
27 *whiskey or vodka. When you are in a room with 30 people. One is singing, one is on the*  
28 *phone, some fight. It's crazy... And prostitutes. Yes! We know who are the prostitutes. There*  
29 *is a mattress behind the wall and some tents are just for that... Moria life is expensive*  
30 *(laughs)”*  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36

37 It is understandable from the analysis above that the experience of living in the hotspot  
38 Moria is indeed rather disheartening. However, what is important is the values and attitudes  
39 that are generated within this environment. The data from the interviews show a clear case  
40 of individualization. In the context of the hotspot approach, as it is experienced in Lesvos,  
41 refugees are trying to cope with the situation relying on their own devices. This undermines  
42 the ability of people to create or be a part of collectivities that could defend the rights of  
43 refugees in these circumstances. But more importantly, it generates specific values  
44 concerning peoples' social relations. Ahmed is 25 years old from Afghanistan and he  
45 describes this attitudinal shift in the following manner.  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51

52 *“Here you learn many things about people. So many different people are here. Good, bad,*  
53 *with problems, crazy... Inside the camp, you trust no one. You see everything here. Drugs,*  
54 *alcohol, prostitutes. You know who to avoid. And everybody wants something. But it's*  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 *normal. I want something too. You want something with this (points at recorder). You see*  
4 *what people want and it is good. It is the truth. Before I was like a child. You tell me*  
5 *something I believe it. Now I am more wise. I understand people”.*  
6  
7

8 This third part of the preparation stage is arguably more important from the other two  
9 due to its extremely negative consequences. The “Hotspot Approach” represents not only the  
10 physical but also the cultural entry point into Europe. It is not simply a place where people  
11 temporary live in order to move to their next destination. It is a social space that for many  
12 refugees signifies the cultural condition of living in Europe<sup>1</sup>. So, it is understandable that the  
13 most crucial part of the hotspot experience is the generation of values and attitudes that can  
14 seriously undermine the future social integration of refugees. Combined with the experience  
15 of work in both the countries of origin and Turkey, this third part of the preparation stage  
16 can affect work orientations which in turn can heighten the risk of exclusion for refugees in  
17 the countries they will eventually find themselves in. This is a topic of paramount  
18 importance that will be analyzed in the following section.  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

29 - *The preparation stage and its effect on work orientations*  
30

31 As previous research on migration suggests (Psimmenos 2013, Lazarescu 2015,  
32 Xypolytas 2017), work orientations are particularly affected by the preparation stage and  
33 play a pivotal role on the allocation of migrants in the labour markets of the host country  
34 (Peck 2000). The present data show any one of the three parts of the preparation stage can  
35 have a decisive effect on work orientations. It becomes even harder for those refugees that  
36 experienced more than one. As opposed to static sociological understandings of the issue,  
37 work orientations are a dynamic cultural construct that alters depending on the conditions  
38 experienced both within and outside the employment “gate” (Beynon and Blackburn 1972,  
39 Daniel 1973). The three parts that comprise the preparation stage understandably lead to a  
40 purely instrumental orientation to work that 28-year-old Karim from Afghanistan portrays  
41 rather clearly.  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48

49 *“I will find work when I am in Europe. I learn how to fight for my life and my family. I am*  
50 *not afraid in Afghanistan. I am not afraid in Turkey. I am not afraid in Moria. People say*  
51 *here (in Greece) ‘Things are difficult. No Work’. I say you have to fight. First, I go to*  
52  
53  
54

---

55 <sup>1</sup> For example, the living conditions are generating a level of skepticism – if not hostility – towards the political  
56 decisions concerning the management of the refugee crisis.  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 *Europe. Then I find work. In Turkey I worked very hard. In Europe I (will) do the same.*  
4 *There is work always”*  
5

6  
7 It is important that, regardless of the country that refugees end up, the allocation in the  
8 host labour market is not similar to the employment history of the preparation stage. If this  
9 becomes the case, there can be detrimental effects on the social relations of migrants to the  
10 host country and it can lead to their exclusion, as previous migration research suggests  
11 (Lazarescu 2015, Xypolytas 2016). Karim’s orientations might appear valid and self-  
12 explanatory, but there is an inherent danger to this approach that the scientific and the  
13 policy-making communities ought to address.  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20

### 21 **Policy suggestions**

  
22

23 Although it is not within the scope of this paper to engage in an elaborate policy  
24 analysis, certain brief suggestions can be made as the data point to specific directions.  
25 *Firstly*, it is important for refugee policy to be informed by qualitative studies. The  
26 subjective aspects of the refugee crisis can provide policy-makers with a wealth of  
27 knowledge concerning the characteristics, values, beliefs and incentives of the people who  
28 these policies target. Unacknowledging this can lead to poor results in both design and  
29 implementation. This issue becomes even more significant in the light of recent efforts to  
30 algorithmically approach relocation and integration policies (Aziz et al 2017, Bansak et al  
31 2018). The *second* important suggestion involves the need for immediate decongestion of  
32 hotspots. The living conditions in these camps can effectively undermine labour market  
33 integration given the effects of confinement and inactivity on refugees’ mental health as well  
34 as on their ability to become active citizens in the host country (Ayeró 2011).  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42

43 The *third* issue is understandably the one of skills. This becomes imperative not only  
44 for avoiding the “lure” of casual labour, but also for providing refugees in the host country  
45 with a sense of social identity, an important part of which is skill and labour identity  
46 (Attewell 1990, Nowika 2012). In order to actualize this, apart from skill creation, much  
47 needs to be done in order to enhance the mechanisms of acknowledging already existing  
48 skills. This means both recognizing existing educational degrees, or in case of interrupted  
49 studies, facilitate the ability to continue studying in the specific field or discipline chosen in  
50 the past (Desiderio 2016, Lodigiani and Sarli 2017). Similarly, it entails the  
51 acknowledgment of labour skills established through experience in the country of origin.  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 The practicalities behind these suggestions are in no way to be underestimated. After  
4 all, for many states in Europe this would demand the exercise of much greater flexibility in  
5 existing institutional structures (Sumption 2013) as well as the establishment of new ones  
6 that are not present even for those born in the country (Donlevy 2016). However, receiving  
7 this rather large number of people without accounting for the events of their personal  
8 histories and without considering the institutional and scientific experience gained from  
9 previous migration flows, would essentially render states unprepared for the challenges at  
10 hand. Despite the differences between the refugee crisis and previous migration patterns, it  
11 is imperative that emphasis is placed on the acquired knowledge of the past 20 years  
12 concerning the issue. The importance of work for social integration cannot be overstated.  
13 But the belief that the income generated through work – regardless of the type of labour –  
14 leads to social integration has been empirically disqualified for many years now (Myrdal  
15 1976, Cohen 1983). Instead, it is a priority for policy makers to protect migrants from  
16 entering labour markets that can seriously undermine their social relations to the host  
17 country.  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

### 30 **Concluding remarks**

31  
32 This article utilized the holistic approach to migrant exclusion in order to address the  
33 dangers that are lurking for refugees if and when they are settled in countries of Europe.  
34 Through the lens of this approach, exclusion is seen as a three-stage process that involves  
35 the *preparation* stage in the country of origin, the *allocation* in casual and low status jobs in  
36 the host country and the reproduction of refugees' social position through the *habituation* to  
37 the cultural aspects that characterize this labour. This paper argues that the journey from the  
38 country of origin to Turkey and then to the Greek hotspot of Moria represents a preparation  
39 stage, where refugees come in contact with living and working conditions that can have  
40 profoundly negative consequences concerning their work orientations as well as their future  
41 labour market integration.  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48

49 The experience of this journey has in many cases led to the loss of the occupational  
50 identity that was characterizing people in the country of origin. In the turmoil of war many  
51 people were forced into casual and low-status work and understandably embraced choices  
52 and attitudes that were centered around the notion of survival. Later on, during their stay in  
53 Turkey, many were involved with employment that was once again completely unrelated to  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 their skills and characterized by low status, deference, petty remuneration and exploitative  
4 conditions. The third part of their journey, the hotspot, on the other hand, is not related in  
5 any way to employment. Instead it represents an experience of inertia and demoralization  
6 that pacifies refugees.  
7  
8

9  
10 These experiences constitute a preparation stage that can decisively affect the  
11 refugees' work orientations. Placing emphasis on survival and escaping the present  
12 conditions is a perfectly understandable reaction to the experiences in all three parts of this  
13 journey. Willingness to work under any conditions, in almost any country of Europe, as long  
14 as people are safe, is not an unfathomable response to what refugees have experienced.  
15 However, these instrumental orientations to work have proven in the past to have  
16 detrimental effects on the social position of migrants in the host country (Xypolytas 2016).  
17 They have shown to lead to the allocation and later identification with casual and low-status  
18 jobs that undermine peoples' social position. In the light of this, it is imperative that policy  
19 mechanisms a) recognize these long marginalization processes, b) try to combat the  
20 entrapment of refugees in hotspots and c) emphasize on skill creation and acknowledgment  
21 in order to avoid the future exclusion of refugees in the countries of Europe.  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33

## 34 **References**

- 35  
36  
37  
38 Afouxenidis, A., Petrou, M., Kandylis, G., Tramountanis, A. & Giannagi, D. (2017). "Dealing  
39 with a Humanitarian Crisis: Refugees on the Eastern EU Border on the Island of Lesbos".  
40 *Journal of Applied Security Research*. 12 (1): 7–39.  
41  
42  
43 Al Jazeera (2017) "Concern over spate of deaths in Greek refugee camps. At least three people die  
44 in a week in the overcrowded Moria refugee camp on Greece's Lesbos island". *Al Jazeera*,  
45 30 January 2017.  
46  
47 Almohamed, A., Vyas, D. and J. Zhang (2017) Rebuilding social capital: Engaging newly arrived  
48 refugees in participatory design. In *29th Australian Conference on Human-Computer*  
49 *Interaction*, 28 November - 1 December, 2017, Brisbane, QLD. (In Press).  
50  
51 Amnesty International (2016) *Trapped in Greece: Unavoidable Refugee Crisis*. London: Amnesty  
52 International Publications.  
53  
54  
55 Anderson, B. (2000) *Doing the Dirty Work: The Global Politics of Domestic Labour*. London:  
56 Zed Books.  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 Aslund, O., Forslund, A. and L. Liljeberg (2016) *Labour Market Entry of Refugees: Swedish*  
4 *Evidence*. Upsala: IFAU  
5  
6 Attewell, P. (1990) 'What Is Skill?' *Work and Occupations* 17 (4): 422-448.  
7  
8 Auer, D. (2017) Language Roulette: The Effect of Random Placement on Refugees' Labour  
9 Market Integration. *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies* 44 (3): 341-362  
10  
11 Auyero, J. (2011) Patients of the State: An Ethnographic Account of Poor People's Waiting. *Latin*  
12 *America Research Review* 46 (1): 5-29  
13  
14 Ayselin Yıldız & Elif Uzgören (2016) "Limits to Temporary Protection: Non-camp Syrian  
15 Refugees in İzmir, Turkey". *Southeast European and Black Sea Studies*, 16 (2): 195-211.  
16  
17 Aziz, H., J. Chen, S. Gaspers, and Z. Sun (2017). *Stability and Pareto Optimality in Refugee*  
18 *Allocation Matchings*. Working Paper.  
19  
20 Baban, F., Ilcan, S. and K. Rygiel (2016) "Syrian Refugees in Turkey: Pathways to Precarity,  
21 Differential Inclusion, and Negotiated Citizenship Rights". *Journal of Ethnic and Migration*  
22 *Studies*. 43 (1): 41-57.  
23  
24 Bach, S. (2017) Refugee Integration: A Worthwhile Investment, DIW Economic Bulletin, 7 (3/4):  
25 33-43  
26  
27 Bakker, L., Dagevos, J. and G. Engbersen (2017) Explaining the Refugee Gap: A Longitudinal  
28 Study on Labour Market Participation of Refugees in the Netherlands. *Journal of Ethnic and*  
29 *Migration Studies* 43 (11): 1775-1791.  
30  
31 Bansak, K., J. Ferwerda, J. Hainmueller, A. Dillon, D. Hangartner, D. Lawrence, and J. Weinstein  
32 (2018) "Improving Refugee Integration Through Data-driven Algorithmic Assignment,"  
33 *Science* 359 (6373): 325–329.  
34  
35 Beynon, H. and R. M. Blackburn (1972) *Perceptions of Work: Variations within a Factory*.  
36 Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.  
37  
38 Bourdieu, P. (2000) *Pascalian Meditations*. Stanford CA: Stanford University Press.  
39  
40 Bridger, S. and F. Pine (1998) *Surviving Post-Socialism: Local Strategies and Regional Responses*  
41 *in Eastern Europe and the former Soviet Union*. London: Routledge  
42  
43 Ceritoglou, E., Yunculer, H., Torun, H. and Tumen, S. (2017) The Impact of Syrian Refugees on  
44 Natives' Labor Market Outcomes in Turkey: Evidence from a Quasi-experimental Design.  
45 *IZA Journal of Labor Policy* 6 (5): 1-28.  
46  
47 Cohen, N. and T. Arieli (2011) "Field Research in Conflict Environments: Methodological  
48 Challenges and Snowball Sampling". *Journal of Peace Research* 48 (4):423-435  
49  
50 Cohen, R. (1983) *The New Helots: Migrants in the International Division of Labour*. London:  
51 Avebury.  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 Daniel, W. W. (1973) "Understanding Employee Behaviour in its Context" in Child J. (ed) *Man*  
4 *and Organization*. London: Allen &Unwin. pp. 39-62.  
5
- 6 Delaporte, I. and M. Piracha (2017) *Integration of Humanitarian Migrants into the Host Country*  
7 *Labour Market*. GLO Discussion Paper, No. 83  
8
- 9 Desiderio, M. V. (2016) *Integrating Refugees into Host Country Labor Markets: Challenges and*  
10 *Policy Options*. Washington, DC: Migration Policy Institute  
11
- 12 Donlevy, V. (2016) *Study on Obstacles to Recognition of Skills and Qualifications*. Brussels:  
13 European Commission.  
14  
15
- 16 Echavez, C., Babajanian, B., Hagen-Zanker, J., Akter, S., and Bagaporo, J.L. (2014) *How Do*  
17 *Labour Programmes Contribute to Social Inclusion in Afghanistan? Evidence from BRAC's*  
18 *Life Skills Education and Livelihoods Trainings for Young Women*. London: ODI.  
19
- 20 Edwards, R. (1998) A Critical Examination of the Use of Interpreters in the Qualitative Research  
21 Process. *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies* 24 (1): 197-208  
22  
23
- 24 Eisele, K. (2014) "Falling Through the Cracks: Third Country Nationals and the Recognition of  
25 Qualifications in the EU" in Carrera, S., Guild, E. and K. Eisele (eds) *Rethinking the*  
26 *Attractiveness of EU Labour Immigration Policies: Comparative Perspectives on the EU,*  
27 *the US, Canada and Beyond*. Brussels: CEPS, pp: 54-60.  
28
- 29 El-Warari, A., Agata, V., Caulfield, L. and J. Kinloch (2017) The Employment Experiences of  
30 Qualified Refugees in the UK and the Impact on Identity, Integration, and Wellbeing: A  
31 Qualitative Enquiry. In *9th International Conference on Refugee, Displacement and Forced*  
32 *Migration* June 25-26, 2017, Paris.  
33  
34
- 35 Gericke, D., Burmeister, A., Lowe, J., Deller, J. and L. Pundt (2018) How do Refugees Use their  
36 Social Capital for Successful Labor Market Integration? An Exploratory Analysis in  
37 Germany. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*. (in press)  
38
- 39 Hakan, A. (2017) "Sexual and Gender Based Violence Against Refugees in Turkey" Presented at  
40 Syn Politeia International Conference *Vulnerable Social Groups: Affiliations and Prospects*.  
41 Athens, 31/3/2017  
42  
43
- 44 Hebebrand, J. (2016) "A First Assessment of the Needs of Young Refugees Arriving in Europe:  
45 What mental Health Professionals Need to Know". *European Child and Adolescent*  
46 *Psychiatry*. 25 (1):1-6.  
47  
48
- 49 ILO (1995) *Report V (1) on Homework*. ILO: Geneva.  
50
- 51 Jarahzadeh, K. (2013) "Identity at the Fringes of Citizenship: Experiences of Afghan Refugees in  
52 Turkey". *Berkeley Undergraduate Journal* 23 (3): 147-152.  
53
- 54 Jaujjainen J. (2017) *Asylum Seekers in Lesbos, Greece, 2016-2017*. Turku: University of Turku.  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 Kofler, I. and T. P. Streifeneder (2017) Social and Labor Integration of Asylum Seekers in Rural  
4 Mountain Areas: A Qualitative Study. *Mountain Research and Development*. 37(4): 388-  
5 395.  
6  
7 Komarovskiy, M. (1940) *The Unemployed Man and His Family. The Effect of Unemployment upon*  
8 *the Status of the Man in Fifty-Nine Families*. New York: Dryden Press, The Institute of  
9 Social Research.  
10  
11 Kosny, A., MacEachen E., Lifshen, M. and P. Smith (2014) Another Person in the Room: Using  
12 Interpreters During Interviews With Immigrant Workers. *Qualitative Health Research* 24  
13 (6): 837–845  
14  
15  
16 Lazarescu, D. (2015). *A Career in Servitude: The Case of Romanian Domestic Workers in Greece*.  
17 Athens: Papazisis. (in Greek).  
18  
19 Lodigiani, R. and A. Sarli (2017) “Migrants’ Competence Recognition Systems: Controversial  
20 Links Between Social Inclusion Aims and Unexpected Discrimination Effects”, *European*  
21 *Journal for Research on the Education and Learning of Adults* 8 (1): 127-144.  
22  
23  
24 Mandic, D. (2017) “Trafficking and Syrian Refugee Smuggling: Evidence from the Balkan  
25 Route”. *Social Inclusion* 5 (2): 28-38.  
26  
27 Marshall, S. and While, A. (1994) “Interviewing Respondents Who Have English as a Second  
28 Language: Challenges Encountered and Suggestions for Other Researchers”. *Journal of*  
29 *Advanced Nursing*. 19: 566-571.  
30  
31 Myrdal, G. (1976) *An American Dilemma: The Negro Problem and Modern Democracy*. New  
32 Jersey: Transaction.  
33  
34  
35 Nowika, M. (2012) *Deskilling in Migration in Transnational Perspective: The Case of Recent*  
36 *Polish Migration to the UK*. Gottingen: Max Plank Institute.  
37  
38  
39 Noy, C. (2008) Sampling Knowledge: The Hermeneutics of Snowball Sampling in Qualitative  
40 Research. *International Journal of Research Methodology* 11 (4): 327-244  
41  
42 Obschonka, M., Hahn, E. and N. H. Bajwa (2018) Personal Agency in Newly Arrived Refugees:  
43 The role of Personality, Entrepreneurial Cognitions and Intentions, and Career Adaptability.  
44 *Journal of Vocational Behavior*. (in Press)  
45  
46  
47 Open Democracy (2016) “Dangerous journeys: violence against women migrants in Turkey”.  
48 *Open Democracy*, 8 September 2016.  
49  
50 Pajic, S., Ulceluse, B., Kismihok, G, Mol, S. T. and Hartog D. N. (2018) Antecedents of job  
51 search self-efficacy of Syrian refugees in Greece and the Netherlands. *Journal of Vocational*  
52 *Behavior*. (in press)  
53  
54  
55 Patten, M. L. and M. Newhart (2018) *Understanding Research Methods: An Overview of the*  
56 *Essentials*. New York: Routledge.  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 Peck, J. (2000) *Work-Place: The Social Regulation of Labour Markets*. London: Guilford Press.  
4  
5 Psimmenos, I. (2013). *Work and Social Inequalities: Personal Services and Servile Labour*.  
6 Athens: Alexadria. (in Greek)  
7  
8 Public Broadcasting System (2017) “Refugees urgently in need of mental health help flounder on  
9 Levos”. *PBS*, 11 September 2017.  
10  
11 Refai, D., Haloub, R. and J. Lever (2018) Contextualizing Entrepreneurial Identity Among Syrian  
12 Refugees in Jordan: The Emergence of a Destabilized Habitus? *The International Journal of*  
13 *Entrepreneurship and Innovation* (in Press)  
14  
15 Sak, G., Kaymaz, T., Kadkoy, O., and M. Kenanoglu, (2017) *Forced migrants: Labour Market*  
16 *Integration and Entrepreneurship*. Economics Discussion Papers, No. 2017-61  
17  
18  
19 Shatz, J. H. (2014) *An Assessment of the Present and Future Labor Market in the Kurdistan*  
20 *Region—Iraq*. Erbil: Kurdistan Regional Government  
21  
22 Corbin, J. and A. Strauss, (2008) *Basics of Qualitative Research: Techniques and Procedures for*  
23 *Developing Grounded Theory*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage  
24  
25  
26 Sumption, M. (2013) *Tackling Brain Waste: Strategies to Improve the Recognition of Immigrants’*  
27 *foreign Qualifications*. Washington: MPI  
28  
29 Thomas, W. and F. Znaniecki (1984) *The Polish Peasant in Europe and America*. Illinois:  
30 University of Illinois.  
31  
32 Waters, J. (2015) Snowball Sampling: A Cautionary Tale Involving a Study of Older Drug Users.  
33 *International Journal of Social Research Methodology*. 18 (4): 367 – 380.  
34  
35  
36 Xypolytas, N. (2013). *Live-in Domestic Work: The Contribution of Familial and Social*  
37 *Relationships to the Reproduction of Work*. Athens: Papazisis. (in Greek).  
38  
39 Xypolytas, N. (2016) “The Entrapment of Migrant Workers in Servile Labour: The Case of Live-  
40 in Domestic Workers from Ukraine in Greece” *Social Cohesion and Development* 11 (1): 31  
41 – 44.  
42  
43  
44 Xypolytas, N. (2017a) “The Country of Origin as a Preparation Stage: Towards a Holistic  
45 Approach to Migrant Exclusion” *International Journal of Sociology and Social Policy*. 37  
46 (13/14): 729 – 742  
47  
48 Xypolytas, N. (2017b) “Preparation, Allocation, Habituation: A Holistic Approach to Migrant  
49 Exclusion”. *Social Cohesion and Development*. 12 (1): 57-71  
50  
51 Zhurzhenko, T. (2001) “Free Market Ideology and New Women’s Identities in Post-socialist  
52 Ukraine”. *European Journal of Women's Studies*. 8 (1): 29-49.  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60